

# FITCH AFFIRMS DANSKE BANK AT 'A', NEGATIVE OUTLOOK

Fitch Ratings-Stockholm/London-02 July 2019: Fitch Ratings has affirmed Danske Bank's and its mortgage bank subsidiary Realkredit Danmark's (Realkredit) Long-Term Issuer Default Ratings (IDRs) at 'A', Short-Term IDRs at 'F1', and Viability Ratings (VRs) at 'a'. The Outlooks on Danske and Realkredit's Long-Term IDRs are Negative and Stable, respectively. A full list of rating actions is at the end of this rating action commentary.

## KEY RATING DRIVERS

### DANSKE BANK

#### IDRS, VR AND SENIOR NON-PREFERRED DEBT

Danske's ratings reflect the bank's strong universal banking franchise in Denmark and to a growing extent, across the Nordic region, providing stable revenue generation across a wide range of products. The ratings also consider Danske's strong capitalisation and funding access, although wholesale reliance makes the bank sensitive to a loss of investor confidence.

The Negative Outlook on Danske's Long-Term IDR reflects uncertainty relating to the ultimate impact on the bank's capitalisation, franchise and funding profile from the various investigations by regulators on the bank's serious deficiencies of its anti-money laundering controls, in particular in its Estonian non-resident portfolio. Around EUR200 billion of payments from non-resident clients were allowed to flow through the Estonian branch between 2007 and 2015, a large part of which are expected to be deemed suspicious.

Danske has suffered significant reputational damage. This has led to some customers in Denmark leaving the bank, but so far these have appeared manageable. The bank has decided to close its Baltic operations, following the order in February 2019 by the Estonian FSA to cease its operations in the country. The pressure on the strong domestic franchise should ease if customer outflows reduce in a notable and prolonged way, but expansion into other Nordic countries could be slowed down.

The Estonia case reflected badly on management. The executive board has seen significantly turnover over the past 24 months. Following the appointment of a new CEO in May 2019, and the dismissal in June 2019 of the Head of Banking Denmark for his responsibilities in the miss-selling of a savings product, we expect more stability and a reduction in strategic and managerial uncertainty. The largely new management team needs time to fully restore trust from the various stakeholders.

The substantial strengthening of the financial crime and compliance departments, including the recent hiring of international senior experts in financial crime and anti-money laundering (AML), should help alleviate management distractions from on-going authority and internal investigations.

Danske has maintained healthy access to wholesale funding since September 2018, and more particularly the beginning of 2019, but at higher prices than in the past and compared with its regional peers. As it is reliant on wholesale funding, like most Nordic banks, its well-diversified funding base has proven resilient to the negative news from the AML investigations, enabling the bank to execute its funding plan for the year without delays. The spread-widening on the international funding markets has increased funding costs but these remain manageable. Over the past 12 months, Danske has successfully issued senior non-preferred bonds to meet its minimum requirement for own funds and eligible liabilities (MREL) on 1 July 2019, and spreads have been generally improving in 2019 to date.

Pressure remains from the outcomes of the various investigations and potential impacts on the bank, including on capitalisation, and hence on investor confidence. In this context, Fitch has maintained its '5' ESG relevance score for Governance Structure for Danske given that legal risk from a large fine remains elevated and drives the Negative Outlook.

Asset quality has been improving. Danske's stage three loans had decreased to about 2.5% of gross loans at end-March 2019, but the gross impaired loans ratio remains above most peers. We expect it to continue to improve. The bank has strengthened its risk management framework in recent years, in particular making better use of stress testing and portfolio analysis to more proactively capture emerging risks. We believe that this will translate into a loan portfolio that is more resilient to stress scenarios.

Danske enjoys strong and stable revenue generation as well as good cost control. Margins are low but have proven resilient to negative interest rates. Profit generation has been affected by higher expenses and investments and higher funding costs, related to the AML issue. We believe that earnings should remain sound despite the current headwinds. Danske will gradually benefit from the continued expansion in Norway and Sweden.

Risk-weighted capital ratios compare well with international peers, with a Fitch Core Capital (FCC)/risk-weighted assets (RWA) ratio of 15.3% and a common equity Tier 1 (CET1) ratio of 16.7%, at end-March 2019. Leverage is somewhat high compared with peers, although still acceptable and decreasing, with a reported fully loaded regulatory leverage ratio of 4.5% at end-March 2019.

The 'F1' Short-Term rating is the lowest to the two options mapping with a 'A' Long-Term IDR in line with our assessment of funding and liquidity. Liquidity is healthy, as it is important for Danske to maintain a significant liquidity portfolio to mitigate refinancing risk. At end-March 2019, this totalled 14% of total assets.

The long-term rating of senior non-preferred debt is in line with the bank's Long-Term IDR.

#### PREFERRED DEBT, DERIVATIVE COUNTERPARTY RATING (DCR) AND DEPOSIT RATING

Danske's DCR, long-term senior preferred debt and deposit ratings are one notch above the bank's Long-Term IDR because derivatives, deposits and senior unsecured preferred notes have preferential status over the bank's large buffers of qualifying junior debt (QJD) and senior non-preferred debt.

Danske's resolution strategy excludes Realkredit. On this basis, Fitch calculates that the buffer of QJD and senior non-preferred debt was over 16% of RWA at end-March 2019. We believe that these buffers should be sufficient to recapitalise the bank after a resolution without causing losses to senior preferred creditors.

We assume that the regulator would intervene when Danske's CET1 capital is close to the bank's CET1 Pillar 1 and Pillar 2 requirements (excluding the capital conservation (CCB) and systemic risk buffers), which on a forward-looking basis stand at 7.2%. We assume the regulator would then likely require the group to be recapitalised to meet its total minimum capital requirements, which including the CCB and systemic risk buffers, but excluding the countercyclical buffer, amounts to 17.3%. This results in an estimated recapitalisation amount of about 10%. Therefore, a combined buffer of 10%-11% should be sufficient to recapitalise the bank after a resolution without causing losses to senior preferred creditors. We view the buffers as sustainable since the bank will have to meet MREL from 1 July 2019.

We have affirmed Danske's short-term preferred senior debt and short-term deposit ratings at 'F1'. This is the lower of the two options mapping to a long-term debt rating of 'A+', and reflects our assessment of Danske's funding and liquidity.

The ratings for commercial paper issued by Danske Corporation, a fully-owned subsidiary solely used to issue commercial paper in the US, are in line with the parent's and reflect Fitch's expectation that the parent would honour its guarantee for the programme.

## REALKREDIT IDRS AND VR

Realkredit's IDRs are based on its standalone financial strength, despite sharing some central functions and distribution channels with its parent bank. Fitch believes that Realkredit's risk profile has been relatively unaffected by the Estonian AML issues affecting its parent. However, given that we believe that capital is to some extent fungible between Danske and Realkredit, we are likely to retain the VRs within one notch of each other.

Realkredit's IDRs and VR reflect its strong domestic franchise as the second-largest mortgage lender, its strong capitalisation and its resilient asset quality. The ratings are constrained by the bank's monoline business model and wholesale funding reliance, although risks associated with the latter are mitigated by a large, deep and liquid domestic covered bond market, and access to funding from its parent if needed.

Realkredit's assets represent the majority of Danske's mortgage loan exposure. The stage three loans/gross loan ratio improved to 1.2% at end-March 2019. The loan book remains well collateralised and performed very well in the wake of the financial crisis. Fitch expects the quality of this portfolio to remain strong, supported by a strong and stable Danish economy. We expect profitability to remain low but stable, underpinned by resilient revenue generation, tight cost control and a continued focus on underwriting.

Similar to its domestic peers, about 20% of Realkredit's bonds mature within a year to match the duration of the bank's underlying mortgage loans. The reliance on short-term bonds has fallen in recent years and they now represent less than 5% of Realkredit's total stock of mortgage bonds. The supportive dynamics of the Danish mortgage bond market and Denmark's law on mortgage bond maturity extensions reduces refinancing risks.

Realkredit's risk-weighted capital ratios compare strongly with those of domestic and international peers, with an FCC ratio of 30.5% end-March 2019, although boosted by relatively low risk-weights on mortgage loans. Leverage is good in a European context.

## SUPPORT RATINGS AND SUPPORT RATING FLOOR

Danske's Support Rating (SR) of '5' and Support Rating Floor (SRF) of 'No Floor' reflect Fitch's view that senior creditors cannot rely on receiving full extraordinary support from the sovereign in the event of the bank becoming non-viable. The EU's Bank Recovery and Resolution Directive (BRRD) provides a framework for resolving banks that is likely to require senior creditors participating in losses, if necessary, instead of or ahead of a bank receiving sovereign support.

Realkredit's SR of '1' reflects an extremely high probability that support would be provided by Danske, if required. In Fitch's view, Danske would have a high propensity to support Realkredit given the latter's role as the group's main domestic mortgage provider, and the significant reputational risk Danske would face in the event of a default of Realkredit. Any required support would likely be manageable relative to Danske's ability to provide it.

## SUBORDINATED DEBT AND OTHER HYBRID SECURITIES

Subordinated debt and other hybrid capital issued by Danske are all notched down from the bank's VR and have been affirmed accordingly.

In accordance with Fitch's criteria, subordinated debt and CRD IV-compliant Tier 2 instruments are rated one notch below Danske's VR to reflect the above-average loss severity of this type of debt. The narrow notching partly reflects the absence of contractual full write-down or conversion language.

Fitch rates Danske's other Tier 2 instruments three notches below the VR to reflect loss severity (one notch) and incremental non-performance risk (two notches). Fitch has applied two notches for incremental non-performance risk because of the issuer's ability to defer coupons.

Additional Tier 1 securities are rated five notches below Danske's VR to reflect the higher-than-average loss severity risk of these securities (two notches) as well as high risk of non-performance (an additional three notches).

## RATING SENSITIVITIES

### DANSKE

#### IDRS, VR AND SENIOR NON-PREFERRED DEBT

Fitch could downgrade Danske's ratings if it becomes likely that the bank will incur fines that would materially deplete its capital base, especially if we believe that this would also translate into a material loss of franchise strength or a weaker funding profile. Negative rating pressure could also arise from significant asset quality deterioration that materially impacts capitalisation, although this is not expected.

Fitch could revise the Outlook to Stable and affirm the ratings if the risk of a capital-depleting fine diminishes, and if the bank can put the Estonian case behind it without materially damaging its franchise or funding profile. In addition, this would require management has successfully restored stakeholders' confidence in the bank and continued to implement its current strategy, with a clear focus on Nordic home markets.

Longer term, a sustained and material improvement in asset quality and profitability, while maintaining solid capital, funding and liquidity ratios, could result in positive rating pressure.,

#### PREFERRED DEBT, DCR AND DEPOSIT RATINGS

Danske's long-term senior preferred debt, DCR and long-term deposit ratings are notched up from and are sensitive to a change in the bank's Long-Term IDR. The DCR and senior preferred debt ratings could be downgraded by one notch, and the deposit ratings withdrawn, if the buffer of QJD and senior non-preferred debt falls below 10%-11% of adjusted risk-weighted assets (excluding Realkredit), or if Fitch reassesses its estimate of the required recapitalisation amount due to a change in Danske's capital requirements.

## REALKREDIT

### IDRS, VR AND SENIOR DEBT

The Stable Outlook reflects both Fitch's view that Realkredit will maintain its focus on asset quality and continue to generate capital internally, and that its franchise is less affected by the Estonian case than its parent. An upgrade of Realkredit's VR is unlikely given its already high ratings for a monoline business model. Given the links with Danske, Realkredit's VR is unlikely to be rated more than one notch above Danske's.

A downgrade of Realkredit's VR would most likely be a result of it being unable to competitively access wholesale funding markets or if it significantly increases its reliance on international debt investors, who may prove less stable during financial stress. A reduced focus on liquidity would

also be negative for the VR. A downgrade of Realkredit's VR, combined with a downgrade of Danske's ratings, would result in a downgrade of Realkredit's Long-Term IDR.

An upgrade of Danske Bank's IDRs, which is unlikely given the Negative Outlook, would likely result in an upgrade of Realkredit's IDRs, at which point Realkredit's IDRs would be driven by parent support.

#### SUPPORT RATING AND SUPPORT RATING FLOOR

An upgrade of Danske's SR or upward revision of the SRF would be contingent on a positive change in Denmark's propensity to support its banks. While not impossible, this is highly unlikely, in Fitch's view.

Realkredit's SR of '1' is sensitive to any perceived change in Danske's propensity or ability to provide support.

#### SUBORDINATED DEBT AND OTHER HYBRID SECURITIES

As subordinated debt and other hybrid securities are notched down from Danske's VR, their respective ratings are sensitive to a change in Danske's VR. They are also sensitive to Fitch changing its assessment of the probability of their non-performance risk relative to the risk captured in Danske's VR.

The rating actions are as follows:

##### Danske Bank

Long-Term IDR: affirmed at 'A', Outlook Negative

Short-Term IDR: affirmed at 'F1'

Viability Rating: affirmed at 'a'

Support Rating: affirmed at '5'

Support Rating Floor: affirmed at 'No Floor'

Derivative Counterparty Rating: affirmed at 'A+(dcr)'

Senior preferred debt: affirmed at 'A+/'F1''

Senior non-preferred debt: affirmed at 'A'

Long-term deposit rating: affirmed at 'A+'

Short-term deposit rating: affirmed at 'F1'

Subordinated debt: affirmed at 'A-'

Additional Tier 1 capital instruments: affirmed at 'BB+'

##### Danske Corporation

Commercial paper programme: affirmed 'A+/'F1'

##### Realkredit Danmark

Long-Term IDR: affirmed at 'A', Outlook Stable

Short-Term IDR: affirmed at 'F1'

Viability Rating: affirmed at 'a'

Support Rating: affirmed at '1'

##### Contact:

Primary Analyst

Francis Dallaire

Director

+46 8 551 094 44

Kungsgatan 8,

11143 Stockholm

Secondary Analyst  
Michal Bryks, FCCA  
Director  
+48 22 338 6293

Committee Chairperson  
Christian Scarafia  
Senior Director  
+44 20 3530 1012

Media Relations: Louisa Williams, London, Tel: +44 20 3530 2452, Email:  
louisa.williams@thefitchgroup.com.

Additional information is available on [www.fitchratings.com](http://www.fitchratings.com)

### Applicable Criteria

Bank Rating Criteria (pub. 12 Oct 2018)

<https://www.fitchratings.com/site/re/10044408>

Short-Term Ratings Criteria (pub. 02 May 2019)

<https://www.fitchratings.com/site/re/10073011>

ALL FITCH CREDIT RATINGS ARE SUBJECT TO CERTAIN LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS. PLEASE READ THESE LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS BY FOLLOWING THIS LINK: [HTTPS://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM/UNDERSTANDINGCREDITRATINGS](https://www.fitchratings.com/understandingcreditratings). IN ADDITION, RATING DEFINITIONS AND THE TERMS OF USE OF SUCH RATINGS ARE AVAILABLE ON THE AGENCY'S PUBLIC WEB SITE AT [WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM](http://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM). PUBLISHED RATINGS, CRITERIA, AND METHODOLOGIES ARE AVAILABLE FROM THIS SITE AT ALL TIMES. FITCH'S CODE OF CONDUCT, CONFIDENTIALITY, CONFLICTS OF INTEREST, AFFILIATE FIREWALL, COMPLIANCE, AND OTHER RELEVANT POLICIES AND PROCEDURES ARE ALSO AVAILABLE FROM THE CODE OF CONDUCT SECTION OF THIS SITE. DIRECTORS AND SHAREHOLDERS RELEVANT INTERESTS ARE AVAILABLE AT [HTTPS://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM/SITE/REGULATORY](https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory). FITCH MAY HAVE PROVIDED ANOTHER PERMISSIBLE SERVICE TO THE RATED ENTITY OR ITS RELATED THIRD PARTIES. DETAILS OF THIS SERVICE FOR RATINGS FOR WHICH THE LEAD ANALYST IS BASED IN AN EU-REGISTERED ENTITY CAN BE FOUND ON THE ENTITY SUMMARY PAGE FOR THIS ISSUER ON THE FITCH WEBSITE.

Copyright © 2019 by Fitch Ratings, Inc., Fitch Ratings Ltd. and its subsidiaries. 33 Whitehall Street, NY, NY 10004. Telephone: 1-800-753-4824, (212) 908-0500. Fax: (212) 480-4435. Reproduction or retransmission in whole or in part is prohibited except by permission. All rights reserved. In issuing and maintaining its ratings and in making other reports (including forecast information), Fitch relies on factual information it receives from issuers and underwriters and from other sources Fitch believes to be credible. Fitch conducts a reasonable investigation of the factual information relied upon by it in accordance with its ratings methodology, and obtains reasonable verification of that information from independent sources, to the extent such sources are available for a given security or in a given jurisdiction. The manner of Fitch's factual investigation and the scope of the third-party verification it obtains will vary depending on the nature of the rated security and its issuer, the requirements and practices in the jurisdiction in which the rated security is offered and sold and/or the issuer is located, the availability and nature of relevant public information, access to the management of the issuer and its advisers, the availability of pre-existing third-party verifications such as audit reports, agreed-upon procedures letters, appraisals, actuarial reports, engineering reports, legal opinions and other reports provided by third parties, the availability of independent and competent third-party verification sources with respect to the particular security or in the particular jurisdiction of the issuer, and a variety of other factors. Users of Fitch's ratings and reports should understand that neither an enhanced factual investigation nor any third-party verification can ensure that all of the information Fitch relies on in connection with a rating or a report will be accurate and complete. Ultimately, the issuer and its advisers are responsible for the accuracy of the information they provide to Fitch and to the market in offering documents and other reports. In issuing its ratings and its reports, Fitch must rely on the work of experts, including independent auditors with respect to financial statements and attorneys with respect to legal and tax matters. Further, ratings and forecasts of financial and other information are inherently forward-looking and embody assumptions and predictions about future events that by their nature cannot be verified as facts. As a result, despite any verification of current facts, ratings and forecasts can be affected by future events or conditions that were not anticipated at the time a rating or forecast was issued or affirmed.

The information in this report is provided "as is" without any representation or warranty of any kind, and Fitch does not represent or warrant that the report or any of its contents will meet any of the requirements of a recipient of the report. A Fitch rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a security. This opinion and reports made by Fitch are based on established criteria and methodologies that Fitch is continuously evaluating and updating. Therefore, ratings and reports are the collective work product of Fitch and no individual, or group of individuals, is solely responsible for a rating or a report. The rating does not address the risk of loss due to risks other than credit risk, unless such risk is specifically mentioned. Fitch is not engaged in the offer or sale of any security. All Fitch reports have shared authorship. Individuals identified in a Fitch report were involved in, but are not solely responsible for, the opinions stated therein. The individuals are named for contact purposes only. A report providing a Fitch rating is neither a prospectus nor a substitute for the information assembled, verified and presented to investors by the issuer and its agents in connection with the sale of the securities. Ratings may be changed or withdrawn at any time for any reason in the sole discretion of Fitch. Fitch does not provide investment advice of any sort. Ratings are not a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold any security. Ratings do not comment on the adequacy of market price, the suitability of any security for a particular investor, or the tax-exempt nature or taxability of payments made in respect to any security. Fitch receives fees from issuers, insurers, guarantors, other obligors, and underwriters for rating securities. Such fees generally vary from US\$1,000 to US\$750,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent) per issue. In certain cases, Fitch will rate all or a number of issues issued by a particular issuer, or insured or guaranteed by a particular insurer or guarantor, for a single annual fee. Such fees are expected to vary from US\$10,000 to US\$1,500,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent). The assignment, publication, or dissemination of a rating by Fitch shall not constitute a consent by Fitch to use its name as an expert in connection with any registration statement filed under the United States securities laws, the Financial Services and Markets Act of 2000 of the United Kingdom, or the securities laws of any particular jurisdiction. Due to the relative efficiency of electronic publishing and distribution, Fitch research may be available to electronic subscribers up to three days earlier than to print subscribers.

For Australia, New Zealand, Taiwan and South Korea only: Fitch Australia Pty Ltd holds an Australian financial services license (AFS license no. 337123) which authorizes it to provide credit ratings to wholesale clients only. Credit ratings information published by Fitch is not intended to be used by persons who are retail clients within the meaning of the Corporations Act 2001

Fitch Ratings, Inc. is registered with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission as a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization (the "NRSRO"). While certain of the NRSRO's credit rating subsidiaries are listed on Item 3 of Form NRSRO and as such are authorized to issue credit ratings on behalf of the NRSRO (see <https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory>), other credit rating subsidiaries are not listed on Form NRSRO (the "non-NRSROs") and therefore credit ratings issued by those subsidiaries are not issued on behalf of the NRSRO. However, non-NRSRO personnel may participate in determining credit ratings issued by or on behalf of the NRSRO.