

Financial market participant Danske Bank A/S
(MAES062Z2104RZ2U7M96)

Statement on principal adverse impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors

26 February 2025*

The statement, originally published on 28 June 2024, has been updated to provide additional information to specific parts of the statement. Sections that have been revised since the initial publication are identified within the statement.

Contents

1. Summary.....3

2. description of the principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors5

2.1. Measured and reported principal adverse impacts.....5

3. Description of Policies to identify and prioritise principal adverse impact on sustainability factors27

3.1. Governance and organisational framework.....27

3.2. Methodologies.....27

3.3. Dataset used for reporting and margin of error.....27

3.4. Engagement policies.....29

3.5. References to international standards.....31

4. Historical Comparison.....32

5. Change Log.....33

1. Summary

[Sammenfatning \(DK\)](#), [Tiivistelmä \(FI\)](#) [Sammanfattning \(SE\)](#), [Sammendrag \(NO\)](#)

Danske Bank A/S, MAES062Z2104RZ2U7M96, (“**Danske Bank**”) considers principal adverse impacts of its investment decisions on sustainability factors. The present statement is the consolidated statement on principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors of Danske Bank. This statement on principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors covers the reference period of 1 January 2023 to 31 December 2023. Principal adverse impacts are addressed through our managed investment products according to their materiality and type, as well as the nature and commitments of the products, and measured through mandatory and other indicators outlined in the Delegated Act (EU) 2022/1288 under the Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulation 2019/2088 (EU).

The principal adverse impact indicators relate to investments in both investee companies, sovereigns/supranationals and real estate assets. Danske Bank does not invest in real estate, meaning these indicators are not of relevance to this statement. Below table summarises our reported adverse impacts for year 2023. The impacts of the adverse impacts have been prioritised through the general approach applied at firm level, and strategy specific commitments. In addressing the adverse impacts we have used a set of tools available to us as an asset manager (Inclusions, Exclusions and Active ownership). Further information on the “impacts” and “actions taken” can be found in the “Description of the principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors” of this statement.

SUMMARY OF IMPACTS			
Indicators applicable to investee companies			
Adverse Sustainability Indicator	Metric	Impact 2023	
GHG Emissions	GHG Emissions (1,1)	Scope 1 GHG Emissions	1,905,313 tCO2e
		Scope 2 GHG Emissions	444,917 tCO2e
		Scope 3 GHG emissions	21,240,034 tCO2e
		Total GHG emissions	23,590,264 tCO2e
	Carbon Foot print (1,2)	Carbon Footprint	334 tCO2e/m€ invested
	GHG Intensity (1,3)	GHG Intensity	877 tCO2e/m m€ of revenue (66%)
	Share of investments in companies in the fossil fuel sector (1,4)	Share of investments in companies active in the fossil fuel sector	5% share of investments in companies in the fossil fuels sector
	Share of non-renewable energy consumption and production (1,5)	Share of non-renewable energy consumption and non-renewable energy production of investee companies from non-renewable energy sources compared to renewable energy sources, expressed as a percentage of total energy source	Non-renewable energy consumption: 55% share Non-renewable energy production: 2% share
	Energy consumption intensity per high impact climate sector (1,6)	Energy consumption in GWh per million EUR of revenue of investee companies, per high impact climate sector	Page 11
Investments in companies without carbon emission reduction initiatives (2,4)	Share of investments in investee companies without carbon emission reduction initiatives aimed at aligning with the Paris Agreement	39% without initiatives	
Biodiversity	Activities negatively affecting biodiversity-sensitive areas (1,7)	Share of investments in investee companies with sites/operations located in or near to biodiversity-sensitive areas where activities of those investee companies negatively affect those areas	0.01% with negative impact on biodiversity

SUMMARY OF IMPACTS

Indicators applicable to investee companies

Adverse Sustainability Indicator		Metric	Impact 2023
Water	Emissions to water (1,8)	Tonnes of emissions to water generated by investee companies per million EUR invested, expressed as a weighted average	0.03 tons / m€ invested
Waste	Hazardous waste and radioactive waste ratio (1,9)	Tonnes of hazardous waste and radioactive waste generated by investee companies per million EUR invested, expressed as a weighted average	8 tons / m€ invested
Social and employee matters	Violations of UN Global Compact principles and Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises (1,10)	Share of investments in investee companies that have been involved in violations of the UNGC principles or OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises	0.03% involved in violations
	Lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises (1,11)	Share of investments in investee companies without policies to monitor compliance with the UNGC principles or OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises or grievance/complaints handling mechanisms to address violations of the UNGC principles or OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises	9% share of investments
	Unadjusted gender pay gap (1,12)	Average unadjusted gender pay gap of investee companies	4% pay gap
	Board gender diversity (1,13)	Average ratio of female to male board members in investee companies, expressed as a percentage of all board members	31% ratio (female directors / total directors)
	Exposure to controversial weapons (anti-personnel mines, cluster munitions, chemical weapons and biological weapons) (1,14)	Share of investments in investee companies involved in the manufacture or selling of controversial weapons	0% involvement
	Insufficient whistleblower protection (3,6)	Share of investments in entities without policies on the protection of whistleblowers	0.2% share of investments
	Lack of a human rights policy (3,9)	Share of investments in entities without a human rights policy	16% lacks a Human Rights policy
Indicators applicable to investments in sovereigns and supranationals			
Environmental	GHG Intensity (1,15)	GHG intensity of investee countries	32 tCO ₂ e / m€ of m€ of country's GDP
Social	Investee countries subject to social violations (1,16)	Number of investee countries subject to social violations (absolute number and relative number divided by all investee countries), as referred to in international treaties and conventions, United Nations principles and, where applicable, national law	63 investee countries subject to violations
Governance	Average corruption score (3,21)	Measure of the perceived level of public sector corruption using a quantitative indicator.	0.24 average corruption score
	Non-cooperative tax jurisdictions (3,22)	Investments in jurisdictions on the EU list of non-cooperative jurisdictions for tax purposes	0.03 % non-cooperative jurisdictions
	Average rule of law score (3,24)	Measure of the level of corruption, lack of fundamental rights, and the deficiencies in civil and criminal justice using a quantitative indicator.	0.27 average rule of law score

2. DESCRIPTION OF THE PRINCIPAL ADVERSE IMPACTS ON SUSTAINABILITY FACTORS

By “principal adverse impacts” is meant the negative, material or likely to be material effects on sustainability factors caused, compounded by or directly linked to Danske Bank’s investment decisions as defined by principal adverse impact indicators. Sustainability factors include environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption, and anti-bribery matters. Danske Bank works from the belief that by measuring and reporting the principal adverse impacts of our investments, we are best positioned to monitor and steer the overall sustainability performance of our portfolios. Danske Bank aims to ensure that the impacts are managed in accordance with the expectations and the needs of our customers. This means that we prioritise the management of our principal adverse impacts according to their materiality and type, in line with our commitments and the strategies of the investment products that we manage.

In addressing the impacts, we as an asset manager have three main tools at our disposal: 1) Inclusion of investments, 2) Exclusion of investments and 3) Active Ownership¹. The criteria and frameworks relating to these key processes are outlined in our Inclusion Instruction, Exclusion Instruction and Active Ownership Instruction published at:

<https://danskebank.com/sustainability/publications-and-policies/sustainability-related-disclosures>

For further information, see the “actions taken” in the section below.

2.1. Measured and reported principal adverse impacts

With this statement, Danske Bank reports the principal adverse impacts of our investments on sustainability factors in accordance with Article 4 of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 on sustainability-related information in the financial sector (“SFDR”). The report covers 16 mandatory principal adverse impact indicators (“PAI indicators”) (as set out in Table 1 of Annex I, Table 1, No. 1-16, of the Commission Delegated Act (EU) 2022/1288) as well as the following six additional PAI indicators selected by Danske Bank:

- Investments in companies without carbon emission reduction initiatives (indicator 2,4)
- Insufficient whistleblower protection (indicator 3,6)
- Lack of a human rights policy (indicator 3,9)
- Average corruption score (indicator 3,21)
- Non-cooperative tax jurisdictions (indicator 3,23)
- Average rule of law score (indicator 3,24)

We report our principal adverse impacts against the PAI indicators as an average for the reference period.

The PAI indicators are linked to different assets with some indicators only relevant for investee companies, some for sovereigns and supranationals, and some for real estate assets. The impacts are measured against assets under management (AuM) by Danske Bank². This means that the reported impacts are based on the total value of Danske Bank’s investments in securities and financial contracts made as part of our portfolio management activities for clients, including branches and regional activities³.

¹ Whether and how an inclusions, exclusions and active ownership are applied in the management of an investment product may be dependent on the strategy of the given product as further described in the pre-contractual disclosures of that product. For those strategies that consider principal adverse impacts of investments on sustainability factors, impacts are managed through exclusions and active ownership activities. This may be supplemented by inclusion criteria that further addresses specific principal adverse impacts.

² When a managed fund-of-funds or other multi-asset product is invested into another managed fund, only the positions of the underlying fund are counted into the calculation.

³ For information on the principal adverse impacts of the investment decisions on sustainability factors made by subsidiaries managed by Danske Bank A/S that are equally considering and reporting on principal adverse impacts, reference is made to the statements individually published by: Danske Invest Management A/S, Danske Invest Asset Management AS, Danske Invest Fund Management Ltd and Danica Pension Livsforsikringsaktieselskab.

For year 2023, the average total value of Danske Bank's AuM (all investments) applied for the calculation of principal adverse impacts was around EUR 84 bn. For further information on the measured impacts, see the "impacts" column in the table below.

Information on how to understand the scale of the reported impacts is available in our Reading Guide published on:

<https://danskebank.com/sustainability/publications-and-policies/sustainability-related-disclosures>

Table 1: Indicators applicable to investments in investee companies

Adverse Sustainability indicator	Metric	Impact year 2023	Impact year 2022	Explanation	Actions taken and actions planned and targets set for the next reference period	
Climate and other environment related indicators						
GHG Emissions	GHG Emissions (1,1)	Scope 1 GHG Emissions	1,905,313 tCO2e (66%)	1,708,121 tCO2e (63%)	<p>Calculation: GHG emissions are calculated as Scope 1, Scope 2, Scope 3 emissions in investee companies expressed in tons of CO2 equivalent. The calculation is done by calculating our share in the investee company in relation to enterprise value which is then multiplied with the company's emissions and aggregated for all investments.</p> <p>Investment and data coverage: Eligible investments made up 82% of all investments. Of these eligible investments, data coverage was 80% which is approximately 66% of all investments.</p> <p>Data assumptions and quality: The data used is based on company- as well as estimated numbers from ISS ESG. Where GHG emission data was not available on an investee company through reported figures and/or information received from ISS ESG no further assumptions have been applied on the data. Given the lack of company disclosures, Scope 3 GHG emissions are subject to more estimations than Scope 1 and 2. Measured by the PCAF quality score, ranging from 1-5 where 1 is the highest quality the weighted score for issuers with data coverage was 2.8 for the Scope 3 emissions, compared to 1.3 for scope 1 and 2. Of the Scope 3 emissions, close to 30 percent of the portfolio had the lowest quality score of 5. Significant uncertainties therefore exist in relation to data reliability for Scope 3, which together with high volatility impact the reported impacts.</p> <p>Severity of impacts: Anthropogenic (man-made) emissions contribute to global warming. Once emitted, emissions stay in the atmosphere. The emissions occur continuously and the probability of occurrence is thus to be regarded as certain. Given</p>	<p>Company commitment: As a signature to the Net Zero Asset Manager's Initiative, Danske Bank is committed to contribute to the goals of the Paris Agreement and to achieve Net Zero Carbon emissions by 2050. We have published a Net Zero Roadmap with interim AuM carbon reduction targets for 2025 and 2030. This includes also Science Based Targets initiative (SBTi) based temperature rating targets for listed equities and credits to further guide climate efforts and enhance transparency on progress towards becoming net zero. Our progress and actions taken to address these targets are reported in the Climate Action Plan Progress Report for 2023 for Danske Bank, available through below link: https://danskebank.com/sustainability</p> <p>While the Climate Action Plan Progress Report outlines actions assumed by Danske Bank to address the severity of this indicator the impacts demonstrated in the report, may vary from what is reported in this statement as a result of the number of assets in scope.</p> <p>Inclusions: Selected investments products apply inclusion criteria set out in the Inclusion Instruction, including climate-related inclusions. In 2023 a new private equity fund, was launched by Danske Invest with inclusion criteria tied to renewable energy generation and reduction of green house gas emissions. Also, Danske Bank</p>
		Scope 2 GHG Emissions	444,917 tCO2e (66%)	435,328 tCO2e (63%)		
		Scope 3 GHG Emissions	21,240,034 tCO2e (66%)	17,670,738 tCO2e (63%)		
		Total GHG emissions	23,590,264 tCO2e (66%)	2,143,450 tCO2e (63%)		

Table 1: Indicators applicable to investments in investee companies

Adverse Sustainability indicator	Metric	Impact year 2023	Impact year 2022	Explanation	Actions taken and actions planned and targets set for the next reference period
Climate and other environment related indicators					
				<p>the effects of global warming on the environment and societies, emissions are considered severe. Given the lack of carbon capture technologies, emissions are considered irremediable.</p>	<p>offers a number of funds following a Paris-Aligned Benchmark (PAB) or Climate Transition Benchmark (CTB). Further information on these inclusion criteria and other criteria tied to climate is available in our Inclusion Instruction.</p> <p>Active Ownership: Active ownership activities were governed under our Active Ownership Instruction and underlying guidelines on voting. During 2023, more than 400 company engagements were logged in relation to the theme of climate and environment. Adverse sustainability impact indicators may however have been engaged with broader engagement activities. Multiple topics may have been discussed in a single engagement interaction. Reference is also made to our Active Ownership Report for 2023 available at: https://danskebank.com/sustainability/publications-and-policies/sustainability-related-disclosures</p> <p>As part of our Net Zero Roadmap Danske Bank is committed to engage with the top 100 emitters of our portfolio by 2025. As of end of year 2023 we have reached out to 37 issuers in relation to these targets. For voting, it follows from our Voting Guidelines that we generally support reasonable shareholder proposals relating to management of climate transition risks. This can be done e.g. by supporting proposals related to climate risks or by voting against weak transition plans presented by the board. For the number of proposals in 2023 tied to the indicator of GHG emissions, see our Active Ownership Report.</p>
Carbon footprint (1,2)	Carbon Foot print	334 tCO2e / m€ invested (66%)	322 tCO2e/m€ invested (63%)	<p>Calculation: Carbon footprint is calculated as the total GHG emissions (Scope 1, 2, & 3) expressed as a ratio for all investments meaning that "Per million EUR in vested" is calculated by dividing the sum of emissions by total value of investments.</p> <p>Investment and data coverage: Eligible investments made up 82% of all investments. Of these eligible investments data coverage was 80%, which is approximately 66% of all investments.</p> <p>Data assumptions and quality: The data used is based on company- as well as estimated numbers from ISS ESG. Where carbon emission data was not available on an investee company through reported figures and/or information received from ISS ESG it has effectively been assumed that investee companies without data have the carbon footprint of the investee companies with data. For further information see indicator 1.</p>	
	GHG Intensity (1,3)	GHG Intensity of investee companies	877 tCO2e/m m€ of revenue (66%)	951 tCO2e/m€ of revenue (63%)	<p>Calculation: GHG Intensity is calculated as the total GHG Intensity (Scope 1, 2 & 3) for all investments, by aggregating the GHG intensity of all investee companies (i.e., GHG emissions in metric tonnes per million EUR revenue), with each weighted by the relative share of the respective investment in the overall portfolio of our investments.</p> <p>Investment and data coverage: Eligible investments made up 82% of all investments. Of</p>

Table 1: Indicators applicable to investments in investee companies

Adverse Sustainability indicator	Metric	Impact year 2023	Impact year 2022	Explanation	Actions taken and actions planned and targets set for the next reference period
Climate and other environment related indicators					
				<p>these eligible investments data coverage was 80%, which is approximately 66% of all investments.</p> <p>Data assumptions and quality: The data used is based on company- and estimated numbers from ISS ESG. Where GHG Intensity data was not available on an investee company through reported figures and/or information received from ISS ESG it has effectively been assumed that investee companies without data have the GHG Intensity of the investee companies with data. For further information see indicator 1.</p>	<p>Exclusions: The majority of the internally managed investments of Danske Bank have base (firm wide) exclusions on thermal coal, tar sands and peat-fired power generation as governed under our Exclusion Instruction. Further, climate considerations are at focus in our proprietary Enhanced Sustainability Standard Screening. As an average for the year, 362 companies have been on the thermal coal exclusion list, 22 companies for tar sands, 0 companies for peatfired power generation. Under the Enhanced Sustainability Standards Screening, 62 companies have been on the exclusion list for being identified to have high climate change contribution and 36 companies for harmful environmental practices. In addition, certain Danske Invest labelled funds managed by Danske Bank have had extended exclusions relating to fossil fuel exclusions. For companies having significantly weak performance on indicators tied to GHG emissions, pre-trade warnings have been set up for a vast part of our managed portfolios in relation to investments into these companies.</p>
Exposure to companies active in the fossil fuel sector (1,4)	Share of investments in companies active in the fossil fuel sector	5% share of investments in companies active in the fossil fuels sector (66%)	4% share of investments in companies active in the fossil fuels sector (62%)	<p>Calculation: Share of investments in companies active in the fossil fuel sector is calculated as the share of such companies against all investments.</p> <p>Investment and data coverage: Eligible investments made up 82% of all investments. Of these eligible investments data coverage was 80%, which is approximately 66% for all investments.</p> <p>Data assumptions and quality: The data used is based on companies' business activities/operations and is subject to a low degree of estimations. Investments for which such data was not available were considered as companies without exposure to the fossil fuel sector.</p> <p>Severity of impacts: Fossil fuel companies are the main contributors to climate change. Investee companies active in the fossil fuel sector, generally, have fossil-related activities as their core business activity and the probability of occurrence is thus regarded as certain. Given the effects of global warming on the environment and societies, fossil fuel involvement effects are considered to be severe. Given the lack of carbon capture technologies, emissions are considered irremediable.</p>	<p>Planned actions for year 2024: In the beginning of 2024, Danske Bank communicated a new Fossil Fuel Transition Strategy setting out our approach for investing in companies in the fossil fuel sector. Danske Bank will in 2024 initiate the implementation of the strategy. Further information on the scope and approach of the Fossil Fuel Transition Strategy is available in our Position Statement on Fossil Fuels dated February 2024 and published on:</p>
	Share of non-renewable energy consumption and non-renewable energy production of investee companies	Non-renewable energy consumption: 55% share (28%)	Non-renewable energy consumption: 64% share (24%)	<p>Calculation: The impacts are calculated by aggregating the percentage of non-renewable energy consumption and production (i.e., non renewable energy sources divided by total energy sources) of investee companies, with each such</p>	

Table 1: Indicators applicable to investments in investee companies

Adverse Sustainability indicator	Metric	Impact year 2023	Impact year 2022	Explanation	Actions taken and actions planned and targets set for the next reference period	
Climate and other environment related indicators						
	Share of non-renewable energy consumption and production (1,5)	from non-renewable energy sources compared to renewable energy sources, expressed as a percentage of total energy sources	Non-renewable energy production: 2% share (64%)	Non-renewable energy production: 2% share (61%)	percentage weighted by the relative share of the relevant investment. Investment and data coverage: Eligible investments made up 82% of all investments. Of these eligible investments data coverage was 35% on non renewable energy consumption and 78% for non-renewable energy production, which is 28% and 64%, respectively, of all investments. Data assumptions and quality: The data used is primarily based on company disclosures but with certain estimations applied by ISS ESG. Where data was not available on an investee company through reported figures and/or information received from ISS ESG, the weighted average percentage of non-renewable energy consumption and production of the investee companies with available data has been applied. Severity of impacts: Non-renewable energy consumption/production are core drivers of climate change. As companies are directly confirming their consumption/production of non-renewable energy, the probability of occurrence is regarded as certain. Given the adverse effects of global warming, non-renewable energy consumption/production is considered severe. Given the lack of carbon-capture technologies, emissions are considered irremediable.	https://danskebank.com/sustainability
	Energy consumption intensity per high impact climate sector (1,6)	Energy consumption in GWh per million EUR of revenue of investee companies, per high impact climate sector (6)	A: Agriculture forestry and fishing: 0.2 GWh / m€ of revenue B: Mining and quarrying: 0.6 GWh / m€ of revenue C: Manufacturing: 0.3 GWh / m€ of revenue D: Electricity gas steam and air conditioning supply: 2 GWh / m€ of revenue	A: Agriculture forestry and fishing: 0.2 GWh / m€ of revenue B: Mining and quarrying: 0.6 GWh / m€ of revenue C: Manufacturing: 0.4 GWh / m€ of revenue D: Electricity gas steam and air conditioning supply: 2. GWh / m€ of revenue	Calculation: The impacts are calculated by aggregating for each high impact climate sector (NACE Level 1) all relevant investee companies' energy consumption intensities, with each intensity weighted by the relative share of the relevant investment in of our investments in that sector. Investment and data coverage: Eligible investments made up 82% of all investments. Of these eligible investments data coverage was up to 40% depending on the sector. Data assumption and quality: The data used is based on company disclosed data. There is a low degree of company disclosed numbers for this	

Table 1: Indicators applicable to investments in investee companies

Adverse Sustainability indicator	Metric	Impact year 2023	Impact year 2022	Explanation	Actions taken and actions planned and targets set for the next reference period	
Climate and other environment related indicators						
			<p>E: Water supply, sewerage, waste management and remediation activities: 0.5 GWh/m€ of revenue</p> <p>F: Construction: 2.0 GWh / m€ of revenue</p> <p>G: Wholesale and retail trade repair of motor vehicles and motor cycles: 0.1. GWh / m€ of revenue</p> <p>H: Transportation and storage: 39.6 GWh / m€ of revenue</p> <p>L: Real estate activities: 0.1 GWh / m€ of revenue</p>	<p>E: Water supply, sewerage, waste management and remediations activities: 0.4 GWh/m€ of revenue</p> <p>F: Construction: 0.0 GWh / m€ of revenue</p> <p>G: Wholesale and retail trade repair of motor vehicles and motor cycles: 0.3 GWh / m€ of revenue</p> <p>H: Transportation and storage: 1.1 GWh / m€ of revenue</p> <p>L: Real estate activities: 0 GWh/m€ of revenue</p>	<p>metric globally. Where data was not available on an investee company through reported figures and/or information received from ISS ESG no further assumptions have been applied on the data of the investee company.</p> <p>Severity of impacts: Companies active in high impact climate sectors generally have a higher emission profile compared to companies in other sectors. Anthropogenic (man-made) emissions contribute to global warming. Once emitted, emissions stay in the atmosphere. The emissions occur continuously and the probability of occurrence is thus to be regarded as certain. Given the effects of global warming on the environment and societies, emissions are considered severe. Given the lack of carbon capture technologies, emissions are considered irremediable.</p>	
Biodiversity	Activities negatively affecting biodiversity-sensitive areas [1.7]	Share of investments in investee companies with sites/operations located in or near to biodiversity-sensitive areas where activities of those investee companies negatively affect those areas	0.01% with negative impact on biodiversity (66%)	0.01% with negative impact on biodiversity (62%)	<p>Calculation: The impacts are calculated as the share of investments in companies with activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas in relation to our investments.</p> <p>Investment and data coverage: Eligible investments made up 82% of all investments. Of these eligible investments data coverage was 80%, which is approximately 66% coverage of all investments.</p> <p>Data assumption and quality: The data used is based on companies linked/identified to having caused negative impacts on biodiversity-sensitive area as identified through company reported data or assessments made by ISS ESG. Investments for which data was not available was considered as companies without activities negatively affecting biodiversity-sensitive areas. Companies causing negative impacts may not have been identified and</p>	<p>Company commitment: Danske Bank has signed up to the Partnership for Biodiversity Accounting Financials (PBAF) and the Finance for Biodiversity Pledge. Both initiatives enable us to measure, and in the long term, set concrete targets for our impact and dependencies on biodiversity.</p> <p>Active Ownership: During 2023, more than 50 biodiversity related engagements were logged. Danske Bank has pledged to engage with 30 companies within material sectors with the highest dependency and impact on biodiversity. Among these, we have contacted 8 issuers. A proprietary biodiversity assessment framework has been developed to evaluate the materiality of biodiversity for our portfolios and to identify laggards. In our Voting Guidelines we outline expectations on company reporting on biodiversity topics such as ecosystem</p>

Table 1: Indicators applicable to investments in investee companies

Adverse Sustainability indicator	Metric	Impact year 2023	Impact year 2022	Explanation	Actions taken and actions planned and targets set for the next reference period	
Climate and other environment related indicators						
				<p>thus reported, or ambiguity concerning the effects. As such, there is a degree of uncertainty in the data.</p> <p>Severity of impacts: Negative impacts on biodiversity-sensitive areas carries multiple effects, incl. the planet's reduced capacity to sequester carbon, and harm to local wildlife and fauna (in some cases red listed). As such, the effects are to be considered severe. As the data used is based on companies that have been found to cause negative impacts on biodiversity, the probability of occurrence is to be regarded as certain. Certain negative biodiversity impacts can be remediated over time, but the direct and immediate effects are considered to be irremediable.</p>	<p>preservation practices, natural species and protected areas, and deforestation.</p> <p>Exclusions: In year 2023 48 companies have been on the Exclusion List due to activities negatively affecting biodiversity under the Enhanced Sustainability Standards Screening. For companies having significantly weak performance on indicators tied to biodiversity, pre-trade warnings have been set up for a vast part of our managed portfolios in relation to investments into these companies.</p> <p>Planned actions for year 2024: We will further work towards concrete target setting and reporting relating biodiversity.</p>	
Water	Emissions to water (1,8)	Tonnes of emissions to water generated by investee companies per million EUR invested, expressed as a weighted average.	0.03 tons/m€ invested (3%)	0.02 tons/ m€ invested (3%)	<p>Calculation: The impacts are calculated by dividing the sum of all emissions to water (in tonnes) of our investments in million EUR.</p> <p>Investment and data coverage: Eligible investments made up 82% of all investments. Of these eligible investments data coverage was close to 4%, which is around 3% coverage for all investments.</p> <p>Data assumption and quality: The data used is based on company disclosures and is thus subject to a low degree of estimations. Company disclosure however remains low and thus data coverage is low. Metric used is chemical oxygen demand (COD), a commonly used indicator measuring emissions to water, which should be regarded as proxy data. Investments for which data was not available was considered as companies without emissions.</p> <p>Severity of impacts: Emissions to water can flow back to the ecosystem without having been properly treated and thereby causing harm. As the data is based on company reported figures the</p>	<p>Active Ownership: As reported in the Active Ownership Report more than 30 engagements have been logged on the topic emissions to water. In our Voting Guidelines we outline that we generally support proposals in relation to supply chain water risk and performance of water risk Assessments.</p> <p>Exclusions: 17 companies with controversies tied to water pollution have been on the Exclusion List during year 2023. For companies having significantly weak performance on indicators tied to emissions to water pre-trade warnings have been set up for a vast part of our managed portfolios in relation to investments into these companies.</p> <p>Planned actions for year 2024: At the time of the publication of this document, no specific actions have been planned.</p>

Table 1: Indicators applicable to investments in investee companies

Adverse Sustainability indicator	Metric	Impact year 2023	Impact year 2022	Explanation	Actions taken and actions planned and targets set for the next reference period	
Climate and other environment related indicators						
				probability of occurrence is to be regarded as certain. The data does not capture regional requirements or how the water was treated prior to discharging. Thus severity is regarded as medium.		
Waste	Hazardous waste and radioactive waste ratio (1,9)	Tonnes of hazardous waste and radioactive waste generated by investee companies per million EUR invested, expressed as a weighted average	8 tons/m€ invested (10%)	153 tons/m€ invested (8%)	<p>Calculation: The impacts are calculated by dividing the sum of all hazardous waste and radioactive waste (in tonnes) of our investments managed in million EUR.</p> <p>Investment and data coverage: Eligible investments made up 82% of all investments. Of these eligible investments, data coverage was 12%, which is around 10% coverage for all investments.</p> <p>Data assumption and quality: The data used is based on company reported hazardous waste numbers, relying on companies' own definitions. The data should thus be regarded as proxy data. Further company disclosure remains low and thus data coverage is low. Investments for which data was not available were considered as companies without hazardous waste / radioactive waste. Low data coverage implies that the indicator is sensitive to single investments with attributed large impacts. This is the main driver to the substantial decrease of the reported impacts from 2022 to 2023.</p> <p>Severity of impacts: Hazardous waste has properties that make it dangerous or capable of having a harmful effect on human health or the environment. As the data is based on company reported figures the probability of occurrence is to be regarded as certain. As the data doesn't reflect whether the waste has been safely/adequately disposed/stored, the severity is regarded as medium. In general, hazardous waste regulations require safe disposal and hence the effects are considered to be irremediable.</p>	<p>Exclusions: For companies having significantly weak performance on indicators tied to hazardous waste, pre-trade warnings have been set up for a vast part of our managed portfolios in relation to investments into these companies.</p> <p>Planned actions for year 2024: At the time of the publication of this document, no specific actions have been planned.</p>

Table 1: Indicators applicable to investments in investee companies

Adverse Sustainability indicator	Metric	Impact year 2023	Impact year 2022	Explanation	Actions taken and actions planned and targets set for the next reference period	
Indicators for social and employee, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters						
Social and employee matters	Violations of UN Global Compact principles and Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises (1,10)	Share of investments in investee companies that have been involved in violations of the UNGC principles or OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises	0.03% involved in violations (82%)	0.05% involved in violations (88%)	<p>Calculation: The impacts are calculated as the share of investments in investee companies with involvement in violations of UN Global Compact (UNGC) principles or OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises in relation to all investments managed by Danske Bank.</p> <p>Investment and data coverage: Eligible investments make up 82% of all investments. All investments have been subject to the Enhanced Sustainability Standards Screening process, meaning that data coverage for these investments was 100%.</p> <p>Data assumption and quality: Data used for the reported impacts is based on companies that have been linked/identified to have violated the minimum social safeguards of UNGC principles and/or OECD Guidelines through our Enhanced Sustainability Standards Screening. As there can be companies violating UNGC principles/OECD guidelines that have not yet been identified/reported, there is a degree of uncertainty in the data.</p> <p>Severity of impacts: Companies that violate the principles/guidelines laid out in the UNGC and OECD can have negative effects across multiple environmental and social areas. Whilst the scope and nature of violations can differ, violations are in general regarded as severe. As violations concerns incidents that have been reported/identified, the probability of occurrence is regarded as certain. Depending on the scope and nature of the violation, companies that have been found to violate UNGC principles and/or OECD Guidelines generally have an opportunity to remediate the situation.</p>	<p>Company commitment: Danske Bank's Group Position Statement on Human Rights outlines our approach towards the companies we invest in, emphasising respect for international standards such as the UNGC principles and the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises. The Position Statement can be accessed on: danske-bank-position-statement-human-rights.pdf (danskebank.com)</p> <p>For year 2023, further information on firm level actions taken and processes in place, including for investments is available in the Human Rights Report 2023 for Danske Bank published at: https://danskebank.com/sustainability</p> <p>Active Ownership: During 2023, we engaged with several companies in relation to allegations of violations of UNGC principles and/or OECD Guidelines.</p> <p>Exclusions: On the Exclusion List relating to the Enhanced Sustainability Standards Screening 234 companies (excl. Russia related companies) have been identified to have significant violations of the UNGC principles and Organisation for Economic Cooperation and/or OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises. As a result of the Russian invasion of Ukraine, Russian state-owned/affiliated companies have been excluded from Danske Invest funds. This meant that a total of 475 investee companies have been excluded. For companies having significantly weak</p>

Table 1: Indicators applicable to investments in investee companies

Adverse Sustainability indicator	Metric	Impact year 2023	Impact year 2022	Explanation	Actions taken and actions planned and targets set for the next reference period
Indicators for social and employee, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters					
					<p>performance on indicators tied to human rights, pre-trade warnings have been set up for a vast part of our managed portfolios in relation to investments into these companies</p> <p>Planned actions for year 2024: We will continue to enhance our screenings and methodologies relating to human rights impacts and risks.</p>
<p>Lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises (1,11)</p>	<p>Share of investments in investee companies without policies to monitor compliance with the UNGC principles or OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises or grievance/complaints handling mechanisms to address violations of the UNGC principles or OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises</p>	<p>9% share of investments (64%)</p>	<p>9% share of investments (60%)</p>	<p>Calculation: The impacts are calculated as the share of investments in investee companies which lack processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UNGC principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises in relation to all investments managed by Danske Bank.</p> <p>Investment and data coverage: Eligible investments make up 82% of all investments. Of these eligible investments data coverage was 78%, which is around 64% coverage for all investments.</p> <p>Data assumption and quality: Data used for the reported impacts demonstrate companies that lack policies, or grievance/complaints handling mechanisms to monitor compliance with the UNGC principles or OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises. As the data is based on companies' existing policies, the data is subject to a low degree of estimations. Interpretations of what are adequate policies/grievance mechanisms may however differ. Investments for which such data was not available were considered as not lacking processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines</p> <p>Severity of impacts: Given that data is based on companies current disclosures, the probability of</p>	<p>See comments provided to indicator 10 above. For Active Ownership, more than 100 engagements have been logged in respect to lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UNGC principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises.</p>

Table 1: Indicators applicable to investments in investee companies

Adverse Sustainability indicator	Metric	Impact year 2023	Impact year 2022	Explanation	Actions taken and actions planned and targets set for the next reference period	
Indicators for social and employee, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters						
Unadjusted gender pay gap (1,12)					<p>occurrence is regarded as certain. Given that companies without policies may not necessarily find themselves in non-compliance with UNGC principles/OECD guidelines, the severity is regarded as medium. The effects are considered remediable.</p>	
	Average unadjusted gender pay gap of investee companies	4% pay gap (5%)	10% pay gap (7%)	<p>Calculation: The impacts are calculated by aggregating the unadjusted gender pay gap of investee companies, with each gender pay gap weighted by the share of the relevant investment in the overall portfolio of investments managed by Danske Bank.</p> <p>Investment and data coverage: Eligible investments made up 82% of all investments. Of these eligible investments data coverage was 6%, which is around 5% coverage for all investments.</p> <p>Data assumption and quality: Data used for the reported impacts is based on company disclosures and hence no estimations are used. As there is a limited number of companies disclosing relevant data, coverage is low. For investee companies without gender pay gap data, the weighted average unadjusted gender pay gap of investee companies with data was applied.</p> <p>Severity of impacts: The gender pay gap includes pay discrimination where women earn less than men for doing equal work or work of equal value. The effects are considered to be severe, as it e.g. can lead to lower retirement and quality of life for women. As the data is based on company disclosed numbers, the probability of occurrence is regarded as certain. Companies have a possibility to remediate gender pay gaps, but this will not help women that have been part of the workforce/affected in the past.</p>	<p>Active Ownership: More than 50 engagements were logged on unadjusted gender pay gap for year 2023. According to our Voting Guidelines, we may vote in favor of shareholder proposals aiming to increase disclosure regarding the gender pay gap ratio and measures taken to promote gender equality. In addition, if overall reporting is not seen as sufficient, a proposal requesting for the company to report in line with best practice may be supported.</p> <p>Exclusions: For companies having significantly weak performance on indicators tied to unadjusted gender pay gap, pre-trade warnings have been set up for a vast part of our managed portfolios in relation to investments into these companies</p> <p>Planned actions for year 2024: At the time of the publication of this document no specific actions have been planned.</p>	

Table 1: Indicators applicable to investments in investee companies

Adverse Sustainability indicator	Metric	Impact year 2023	Impact year 2022	Explanation	Actions taken and actions planned and targets set for the next reference period
Indicators for social and employee, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters					
Board gender diversity (1,13)	Average ratio of female to male board members in investee companies, expressed as a percentage of all board members	31% ratio (female directors/total directors) (63%)	31% ratio (female directors/total directors) (37%)	<p>Calculation: The impacts are calculated as the percentage of female to all board members of an investee company. The weighted average board gender diversity is calculated by aggregating the board gender diversity of all investee companies, with each such diversity weighted by the relative share of the relevant investment in the overall portfolio of investments managed by Danske Bank.</p> <p>Investment and data coverage: Eligible investments made up 82% of all investments. Of these eligible investments data coverage was 77%, which is around 63% coverage for all investments.</p> <p>Data assumption and quality: Data used for the reported impacts is based on companies' board composition and hence not subject to any data estimations/proxies. For investee companies without board gender diversity data, the weighted average board gender diversity of investee companies with data was applied.</p> <p>Severity of impacts: There exist barriers to gender equality in leadership and board compositions, leading to negative effects on board dynamics and governance. It also leads to unfair discrimination of women that have the right credentials but that are neglected from board positions. As such, the issue is regarded as severe. As the data is based on a company's reported board compositions, the probability of occurrence is regarded as certain. Companies have a possibility to improve/remediate the gender balance in their boards.</p>	<p>Active Ownership: As set-out in our Voting Guidelines, we believe that companies should recognise and strive for equal gender representation at Board and executive level. In mature markets, we expect that at least one-third (33 percent), or any higher domestic threshold, of shareholder elected directors on the Board of Directors to be of the underrepresented gender. In emerging markets, we expect at least one shareholder-elected director to be of the underrepresented gender. Recognising that these expectations may lead market norms and practices, we will use voting and engagement as a means to support companies in achieving these objectives.</p> <p>Exclusions: For companies having significantly weak performance on indicators tied to board gender diversity have been set up for a vast part of our managed portfolios in relation to investments into these companies.</p> <p>Planned actions for year 2024: At the time of the publication of this document, no specific actions have been planned.</p>

Table 1: Indicators applicable to investments in investee companies

Adverse Sustainability indicator	Metric	Impact year 2023	Impact year 2022	Explanation	Actions taken and actions planned and targets set for the next reference period
Indicators for social and employee, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters					
<p>Exposure to controversial weapons (anti-personnel mines, cluster munitions, chemical weapons and biological weapons)</p> <p>(1,14)</p>	<p>Share of investments in investee companies involved in the manufacture or selling of controversial weapons</p>	<p>0% involvement (66%)</p>	<p>0% involvement (63%)</p>	<p>Calculation: The impacts are calculated as the share of investments in investee companies with exposure to controversial weapons in relation to all investments managed by Danske Bank</p> <p>Investment and data coverage: Eligible investments made up 82% of all investments. Of these eligible investments data coverage was 80%, which is around 66% coverage for all investments</p> <p>Data assumption and quality: Data used for the reported impacts is based on company reports, or government sources, confirming involvement in controversial weapons. Where such data was not available, investments were considered as not having exposure to controversial weapons.</p> <p>Severity of impacts: The weapons are considered controversial as their production and use are assessed to conflict with the prohibitions set out in international conventions and national financing prohibitions because of their discriminate effects and the disproportionate harm they cause. Whilst the weapons might not be used in battle, the mere existence and potential use is regarded as severe. Given that data is based on confirmed company involvement, the probability of occurrence is regarded as certain. Given that the weapons have been produced, the effects are considered irremediable.</p>	<p>Company Commitment: For the listed companies we invest in, we perform a screening in collaboration with our screening and research partners to make sure that they act in line with the following international principles and conventions in relation to controversial weapons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Convention on the Prohibition of the Use, Stockpiling, Production and Transfer of Anti-Personnel Mines and on their Destruction (The Ottawa Treaty) • Biological Weapons Convention • Chemical Weapons Convention • Convention on Cluster Munitions <p>We strive to maintain similar screens for non-listed investments e.g. through our external managers. Further information on our company commitments relating to arms & defence is available in Danske Bank's Group Position Statement on Arms & Defence: danske-bank-position-statement-arms-and-defence.pdf (danskebank.com)</p> <p>Exclusions: In 2023, 98 companies were on the Exclusion List due to identified involvement in controversial weapon activities.</p> <p>Planned actions for year 2024: At the time of the publication of this document, no specific actions have been planned.</p>

Table 1: Indicators applicable to investments in Sovereigns and Supranationals

Adverse Sustainability indicator		Metric	Impact year 2023	Impact year 2022	Explanation	Actions taken and actions planned and targets set for the next reference period
Environment	GHG intensity (1,15)	GHG intensity of investee countries	32 tCO2e/m€ of country's GDP (11%)	39 tCO2e/m€ of country's GDP (12%)	<p>Calculation: The impacts are calculated by aggregating the GHG intensity of all investee countries, with each intensity weighted by the relative share of the relevant investment in the overall portfolio of investments managed by Danske Bank.</p> <p>Investment and data coverage: Eligible investments made up 12% of all investments. Of these eligible investments data coverage was 96%, which is around 11% coverage for all investments</p> <p>Data assumption and quality: Data used for the reported impacts includes Scope 1, 2 and 3 emissions. This is not the traditional way sovereign emissions are accounted for and available data is limited in this regard. The data factor used provides information on "production emissions", using the same boundary setting as UN Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC). For investee countries without such data the weighed average GHG intensity of investee countries with emissions data was applied.</p> <p>Severity of impacts: Please refer to the severity of impacts outlined for indicator 1.</p>	<p>Company Commitment: Please see the "action taken" comments for indicators 1-6.</p> <p>Active Ownership: During 2023, we have logged more than 50 engagements with sovereigns or supranationals on climate related topics.</p> <p>Exclusions: As part of our Country Assessment leading to exclusions of sovereigns or supranationals due to their adverse sustainability impacts, we screen a country's exposure to and management of among others GHG intensity. The screening framework is based on quantitative factors and a qualitative overlay and seeks to identify countries with severe underperformance. For further information, see the Enhanced Sustainability Standards Screening methodology paper, available at: https://danskebank.com/sustainability/publications-and-policies/sustainability-related-disclosures.</p> <p>Planned actions for year 2024: At the time of the publication of this document, no specific actions have been planned other than the introduction of a pre-trade warning enhancing the focus on these negative impacts.</p>
	Investee countries subject to social violations (1,16)	Number of investee countries subject to social violations (absolute number and relative number divided by all investee countries), as referred to in international treaties and conventions, United	<p>Absolute number: 63 investee countries subject to violations (11%)</p> <p>Relative number: 5.0% of investments</p>	<p>Absolute number: 62 investee countries subject to violations (12%)</p> <p>Relative number: 5.6% of investments</p>	<p>Calculation: The impacts are calculated as the absolute number of investee countries subject to social violations and the share of these investments in relation to all investments managed by Danske Bank.</p> <p>Investment and data coverage: Eligible investments made up 12% of all investments. Of these eligible</p>	<p>Company Commitment: Please see the Action Taken comments for indicators 10-11.</p> <p>Exclusions: As part of our Country Assessment (see indicator 1,15), we screen for companies tied to social violations, e.g. through the topics of Freedom of assembly, Freedom of opinion</p>

Table 1: Indicators applicable to investments in Sovereigns and Supranationals

Adverse Sustainability indicator		Metric	Impact year 2023	Impact year 2022	Explanation	Actions taken and actions planned and targets set for the next reference period
		Nations principles and, where applicable, national law			<p>investments data coverage was 96%, which is around 1.1% coverage for all investments</p> <p>Data assumption and quality: Data used for the reported impacts is linked to a spectrum of underlying social issues, including but not limited to freedom of speech and press concerns, death penalty status (e.g. USA and Japan), human rights concerns etc. Where such data was not available, investments were considered as not being subject to social violations</p> <p>Severity of impacts: Social violations are considered severe. Given that data is based on current/past performance on social criterion, the probability of occurrence is regarded as certain. Due to the (generally) large-scale implications of social violations the effects are considered irremediable.</p>	<p>and expression, Indigenous peoples' rights, Women's and girls' rights, Arbitrary arrest and detention, Extrajudicial or unlawful killings, Security forces and human rights, Torture and other illtreatment.</p> <p>Planned actions for year 2024: At the time of the publication of this document, no specific actions have been planned other than the introduction of a pre-trade warning enhancing the focus on these negative impacts.</p>

Table 1: Indicators applicable to investments in real estate

Fossil fuels	Exposure to fossil fuels through real estate assets (1,17)	Share of investments in real estate assets involved in the extraction, storage, transport or manufacture of fossil fuels	N/A	N/A	N/A	Danske Bank does not manage investment in real estate assets for our customers.
Energy efficiency	Exposure to energy-inefficient real estate assets (1,18)	Share of investments in energy-inefficient real estate assets	N/A	N/A	N/A	Danske Bank does not manage investment in real estate assets for our customers.

Other indicators for principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors

Adverse Sustainability indicator	Metric	Impact year 2023	Impact year 2022	Explanation	Actions taken and actions planned and targets set for the next reference period
----------------------------------	--------	------------------	------------------	-------------	---

Table 2: Additional Indicators for Climate

Indicators applicable to investments in investee companies

Emissions	Investments in companies without carbon emission reduction initiatives (2,4)	Share of investments in investee companies without carbon emission reduction initiatives aimed at aligning with the Paris Agreement	39% without initiatives (66%)	51% without initiatives (62%)	<p>Calculation: The impacts are calculated as the share of investments in investee companies without carbon emission reduction initiatives aimed at aligning with the Paris Agreement in relation to all investments managed by Danske Bank</p> <p>Investment and data coverage: Eligible investments made up 82% of all investments. Of these eligible investments data coverage was 80%, which is around 66% coverage for all investments.</p> <p>Data assumption and quality: Data used for the reported impacts is based on companies' disclosures. Where such information was not available, the weighted average percentage of companies without carbon emission reduction initiatives has been applied.</p> <p>Severity of impacts: Anthropogenic (man-made) emissions contribute to global warming. Once emitted, emissions stay in the atmosphere. Lack of carbon emission reduction initiatives is not necessarily equivalent to poor carbon performance/decarbonisation. The severity is thus considered as medium. The companies also have the possibility to implement adequate reduction initiatives and remediate the situation.</p> <p>Given that data is based on companies' current disclosures, probability of occurrence is considered as certain.</p>	See comments provided to "actions taken" in indicator 1-6.
-----------	--	---	-------------------------------	-------------------------------	--	--

Other indicators for principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors

Adverse Sustainability indicator	Metric	Impact year 2023	Impact year 2022	Explanation	Actions taken and actions planned and targets set for the next reference period
----------------------------------	--------	------------------	------------------	-------------	---

Table 3: Additional indicators for social and employee, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters

Indicators applicable to investments in investee companies

Social and employee matters	Insufficient whistleblower protection [3,6]	Share of investments in entities without policies on the protection of whistleblowers	0.2% without policies (60%)	0.2% without policies (53%)	<p>Calculation: The impacts are calculated as the share of investments in investee companies without policies on whistleblower protection in relation to all investments managed by Danske Bank</p> <p>Investment and data coverage: Eligible investments made up 82% of all investments. Of these eligible investments data coverage was 80%, which is around 60% coverage for all investments</p> <p>Data assumption and quality: Data used does not only reflect the presence of policies on the protection of whistleblowers. Where such information was not available, the weighted average percentage of companies without whistleblower protection policies initiatives has been applied.</p> <p>Severity of impacts: Companies with insufficient whistleblower protection are at risk of having individuals/businesses engaging in fraudulent/unethical behaviour where employees do not feel protected in reporting such conduct. The absence of whistleblower protection can lead to prolonged periods of corporate misconduct or personal consequences. Lack of whistleblower protection is not equivalent to exposure to activities that should have otherwise been reported through whistleblower channels. Hence severity is considered medium.</p>	<p>Active Ownership: Companies are expected to have adequate whistleblower protection policies. If that is not the case, or if there is reason to believe that these policies do not function as intended, suggestions to strengthen these policies are likely to be supported.</p> <p>Exclusions: No companies have been excluded solely for the grounds of having no whistleblower policies. For companies having significantly weak performance on indicators relating to insufficient whistleblower protection pre-trade warnings have been set up for a vast part of our managed portfolios in relation to investments into these companies.</p> <p>Planned actions for year 2024: At the time of the publication of this document, no specific actions have been planned.</p>
-----------------------------	---	---	-----------------------------	-----------------------------	---	--

Other indicators for principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors

Adverse Sustainability indicator	Metric	Impact year 2023	Impact year 2022	Explanation	Actions taken and actions planned and targets set for the next reference period
----------------------------------	--------	------------------	------------------	-------------	---

Table 3: Additional indicators for social and employee, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters

Indicators applicable to investments in investee companies

Human Rights	Lack of a human rights policy (3,9)	Share of investments in entities without a human rights policy	16% lacks a human rights policy (64%)	15% lacks a human rights policy (60%)	<p>Calculation: The impacts are calculated as the share of investments in investee companies without human rights policies in relation to all investments managed by Danske Bank</p> <p>Investment and data coverage: Eligible investments made up 82% of all investments. Of these eligible investments data coverage was 77%, which is around 64% coverage for all investments</p> <p>Data assumption and quality: The data used reflects companies lacking a human rights policy. The data does not require the policy to have been approved at board level and is hence a proxy. Where such information was not available, the weighted average percentage of companies without human rights policies has been applied.</p> <p>Severity of impact: Companies without a human rights policy are more at risk of contributing to human rights violations. Lack of a human rights policy is however not equivalent to being involved in human rights violations, hence severity is considered medium. Given that data is based on companies' disclosures, the probability of occurrence is regarded as certain. Companies without a human rights policy have the possibility to implement relevant policies and remediate the situation</p>	<p>Company Commitment: Please see the "actions taken" comments for indicators 10-11.</p> <p>Active Ownership: Companies are expected to have a Human rights policy, containing a due diligence process to identify, prevent, mitigate and address adverse human rights impacts. The policy should reflect the contents of: The Universal Declaration of Human Rights; The ILO Declaration of Fundamental Principles of Rights at Work; The UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights. If a company has not published a policy, or if there is reason to believe that the policy does not function as intended, proposals to strengthen the policy is likely to be supported.</p> <p>Planned actions for year 2024: At the time of the publication of this document, no specific actions have been planned.</p>
--------------	-------------------------------------	--	---------------------------------------	---------------------------------------	--	--

Other indicators for principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors

Adverse Sustainability indicator	Metric	Impact year 2023	Impact year 2022	Explanation	Actions taken and actions planned and targets set for the next reference period
----------------------------------	--------	------------------	------------------	-------------	---

Table 3: Additional indicators for social and employee, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters

Indicators applicable to investments in sovereigns and supranationals

Governance	Average corruption score (3,21)	Measure of the perceived level of public sector corruption using a quantitative indicator explained in the explanation column	0.24 average corruption score (11%)	0.25 average corruption score (12%)	<p>Calculation: The impacts are calculated as the average corruption score of investments in investee countries in relation to all investments managed by Danske Bank</p> <p>Investment and data coverage: Eligible investments made up 82% of all investments. Of these eligible investments data coverage was 96%, which is around 11% coverage for all investment.</p> <p>Data assumption and quality: The data used is based on the degree to which corruption is perceived to exist among public officials and politicians measured by the Corruption Perception Index by Transparency International. This factor provides a rated entity's numeric grade from 1 (D-) to 4 (A+). Interpretations of the indicator may differ. For investments in investee countries without such information, the average score of the covered investments was applied.</p> <p>Severity of impact: Corruption can be defined as "the abuse of entrusted power for private gain". The suite of activities understood to be 'corrupt' varies, and can include for instance bribing public officials, embezzlement, and obstruction of justice. Given the scale of its detrimental effects, corruption is considered as severe. Given that the data is based on countries current historical performance on corruption issues, the probability of occurrence is deemed to be certain. Given the complexity and long timelines associated with "cleaning out" corruption, the effects are considered irremediable.</p>	<p>Exclusions: As part of the Country Assessment potentially leading to exclusions under the Enhanced Sustainability Standards Screening (see indicator 1,15), we screen a country's corruption. This governance criteria constitute a weight of around 13% of the overall score.</p> <p>Planned actions for year 2024: At the time of the publication of this document, no specific actions have been planned.</p>
------------	---------------------------------	---	-------------------------------------	-------------------------------------	---	---

Other indicators for principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors

Adverse Sustainability indicator	Metric	Impact year 2023	Impact year 2022	Explanation	Actions taken and actions planned and targets set for the next reference period
----------------------------------	--------	------------------	------------------	-------------	---

Table 3: Additional indicators for social and employee, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters

Indicators applicable to investments in sovereigns and supranationals

Governance	Non-cooperative tax jurisdictions (3,,22]	Investments in jurisdictions on the EU list of non-cooperative jurisdictions for tax purposes	0.03% non-cooperative jurisdictions (11%)	0.03% non-cooperative jurisdictions (12%)	<p>Calculation: The impacts are calculated as the share of investments in non-cooperative investee countries for tax purposes in relation to investments managed by Danske Bank.</p> <p>Investment and data coverage: Eligible investments made up 12% of all investments. Of these eligible investments data coverage was 96%, which is around 11% coverage for all investments</p> <p>Data assumption and quality: Data is based on EU's list of non-cooperative jurisdictions for tax purposes and is thus not subject to any estimations.</p> <p>Severity of impacts: The EU list of non-cooperative jurisdictions for tax purposes is part of the EU's work to fight tax evasion and avoidance. It is composed of countries which have failed to fulfil their commitments to comply with tax good governance criteria. Given the global nature of unfair tax competition, the impacts are considered severe. The probability of occurrence is regarded as certain given the existence of EU's list. Given that countries' can have contributed to negative tax effects for multiple years, the effects are considered irremediable.</p>	<p>Exclusions: As part of the Country Assessment potentially leading to exclusions under the Enhanced Sustainability Standards Screening (see indicator 1,15), we consider sources such as the Financial Action Task Force (FATF) list of "High-risk and other monitored jurisdictions", "EU list of non-cooperative jurisdictions", "OECD Global Forum on Transparency and Exchange of Information for Tax Purposes - EOR". Any country listed on any of the three lists outlined above, that is not subject to exclusion, is placed on the watchlist. A watchlist is maintained to monitor countries that are assessed as performing weak on certain sustainability dimensions, but do not meet exclusionary criteria.</p> <p>Planned actions for year 2024: At the time of the publication of this document, no specific actions have been planned.</p>

Other indicators for principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors

Adverse Sustainability indicator	Metric	Impact year 2023	Impact year 2022	Explanation	Actions taken and actions planned and targets set for the next reference period
----------------------------------	--------	------------------	------------------	-------------	---

Table 3: Additional indicators for social and employee, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters

Indicators applicable to investments in sovereigns and supranationals

	Average rule of law score (3,24)	Measure of the level of corruption, lack of fundamental rights, and the deficiencies in civil and criminal justice using a quantitative indicator explained in the explanation column	0.27 average rule of law score (11%)	0.28 average rule of law score (12%)	<p>Calculation: The impacts are calculated as the average rule law score of investments in investee countries in relation to investments managed by Danske Bank</p> <p>Investment and data coverage: Eligible investments made up 12% of all investments. Of these eligible investments data coverage was 96%, which is around 11% coverage for all investments,</p> <p>Data assumption and quality: Data is based on a numerical score based on the extent to which agents have confidence in and abide by the rules of society, and in particular the quality of contract enforcement, property rights, the police, and the courts, as well as the likelihood of crime and violence. Interpretations of the indicator may differ and should be regarded as a proxy. This factor provides a rated entity's numeric grade from 1 (D-) to 4 (A+). For investee countries not assigned an average rule of law score, the weighted average for the data covered countries was applied.</p> <p>Severity of impacts: Rule of law ensures that the political and judicial systems are predictable and act in the interest of society, fostering economic and social development. Given the large/societal-scale negative effects of failing of upholding the rule of law, the failure is regarded as severe. Given that data is based on current/past performance, the probability of occurrence is regarded as certain. Given the amount of people that have been affected by weak rule of law, and the challenges and timelines associated with implementing better practices, the effects are regarded as irremediable.</p>	<p>Exclusions: As part of the Country Assessment, potentially leading to exclusions under the Enhanced Sustainability Standards Screening, we screen a country's rule of law. This governance criteria constitute a weight of around 13% of the overall score.</p> <p>Planned actions for year 2024: At the time of the publication of this document, no specific actions have been planned.</p>
--	----------------------------------	---	--------------------------------------	--------------------------------------	--	--

3. DESCRIPTION OF POLICIES TO IDENTIFY AND PRIORITISE PRINCIPAL ADVERSE IMPACT ON SUSTAINABILITY FACTORS

3.1. Governance and organisational framework

The Responsible Investment Policy of Danske Bank adopted by the Board of Directors in December 2024 confirms and outlines our commitment to identify and prioritise principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors. The Responsible Investment Policy is subject to regular, not less than annual, reviews, with input from 2nd Line Risk Management, Compliance, the ESG Integration Council and other relevant stakeholders. The Responsible Investment Policy is approved with endorsement by the Responsible Investment Committee and Business Integrity Committee of Danske Bank A/S.

The responsibilities for the implementation of the Responsible Investment Policy are outlined in the policy, with the Responsible Investment Committee guiding its execution. The Responsible Investment Policy and its commitments to identify and prioritise principal adverse impacts are further operationalised through underlying instructions on Inclusions, Exclusion and Active Ownership with supporting guidelines.

3.2. Methodologies

Principal adverse impacts are identified through screening of external impacts of an investee company's or sovereign's activities that may have significant negative affect on society and environment. The screening focuses on the principal adverse impact indicators that always lead to principal adverse impacts and additional indicators we have committed ourselves to assess, as reported in the PAI table. Subject to data availability, the selection of additional adverse impact indicators has followed the methodology/guiding principle of selecting the indicators which are deemed most relevant to consider based on our investment management philosophy, exposures as well as data quality. We are continuously striving to expand the list to ensure that our processes capture negative dimensions to the largest extent possible.

The screening and due diligence processes are defined centrally through the processes supporting Danske Bank Exclusions, Inclusion and Active Ownership framework and can lead to prioritised actions in accordance with what has been set out in this statement. For instance, our Enhanced Sustainability Standards Screening is Danske Bank's proprietary model that supports exclusions of companies and other issuers that are engaged in activities and conduct harmful to society within our investment universe. The screening is conducted bi-annually unless prompted by other events and contains among others an incident-based review of companies alleged to be violating international norms as defined by international organizations such as the OECD, ILO, UN and other treaties or conventions deemed to be material. The screening is undertaken based on data from multiple sustainability data providers (ISS, MSCI, Sustainalytics), our investment teams, Danske Bank group position statements and as well as other relevant sources and stakeholders (e.g. NGOs).

Find more information on our Responsible Investment Policy, Inclusion Instruction, Exclusion Instruction, Active Ownership Instruction, Enhanced Sustainability Standards Screening and excluded investments on: <https://danskebank.com/sustainability-related-disclosures>

Portfolio managers are also expected to monitor and follow-up on the principal adverse impacts of their managed portfolio for which relevant tools, knowledge, research, education and subject-matter expertise are provided to the investment team to support the due diligence processes. The due diligence process is supported by our trading/compliance platforms assisting portfolio managers in identifying investee companies with weak performance in relation to the PAI indicators. Essentially the trading platform ensures that when a portfolio manager of an actively managed strategy is placing a trade for a security in an investee company that has been assessed as negatively performing on one or more principal adverse impact indicators, the portfolio manager will receive a warning to prompt further due diligence of the issuer. Strategies and products applying pre-trade warnings on the PAI indicators are disclosing this in their pre-contractual disclosures. Information on these thresholds is available in our methodology paper on sustainable investments, published on the link referenced above.

3.3. Dataset used for reporting and margin of error

Data sources used for the measurement and reporting on the PAI indicators are assessed by the Responsible Investment team in Danske Bank Asset Management. The assessments include, but are not

limited to, assessments on data coverage, data quality, methodology, costs, and other operational considerations.

No universally accepted framework (legal, regulatory, or others) currently exists in relation to sustainability-related data, information, and assessments. As a financial institution investing globally in different asset classes, Danske Bank strives to the extent possible to leverage primary reported data and information. Where such is not available, best efforts are made to obtain data, including data estimates, information, and assessments through third-party providers or directly from investee companies, and/or by carrying out additional research or making own reasonable assumptions/estimations.

Sustainability-related data, information, and assessments is therefore not comparable to that of financial information. This implies a risk of misrepresentation of data on sustainability-dimensions or impacts associated to an investment. Despite diligent due diligence in the onboarding of data and other resource and cost proportional considerations in place to ensure the accuracy, completeness, and reliability of the data, it is not possible to verify nor guarantee, directly or indirectly, the complete correctness of the underlying data. Therefore, a certain margin of error is generally to be expected in relation to ESG data.

At this point in time, it is difficult to assess the general magnitude of the margin of error in respect to the reported impacts in this report, but it is expected to be substantial. Indicators with low coverage is also more vulnerable for outliers, which will affect calculations where companies with coverage are used as proxies for companies without coverage. Due to the uncertainty and volatility related to scope 3 emissions, all indicators which includes scope 3 emissions do have some uncertainty connected to them. In general, scope 3 emissions account for 70-80 percent of the total emissions. We do expect the quality of scope 3 emissions to increase in the coming years, however the maturity level makes it currently challenging to compare one reference period to the next. Reference is also made to the descriptions outlined in the PAI table. As corporate disclosures are expected to improve and increase over time, we also expect the margin of error to be reduced in time.

On vendors, we have in general chosen one vendor, ISS ESG, in relation to the reporting. The selection was performed on basis of a thorough due diligence process, meaning that dimensions such as models used, data coverage and alignment of the definitions outlined in SFDR have been scrutinised. ISS ESG do primarily not estimate any company performance, except for emissions related data. More information on ISS' methodology (ISS ESG SFDR Principal Adverse Impact Solution – Data Dictionary) is available through ISS ESG.

In utilising ISS ESG as vendor no direct collection of data is in overall done from the companies or issuers that we invest into. We engage with ISS ESG in cases where data appears incorrect or if there are significant data gaps. For calculations requiring an average, these averages are based on holdings with coverage, is effectively assumed that all investee companies without coverage data have the weighted average of the companies with data coverage.

As of June 2024, ISS ESG had data coverage for up 8,000 issuers for corporate principal adverse impacts, up to 26,000 Issuers for corporate controversy linked principal adverse impacts, 28,500 Issuers for controversial weapons and up to 190 countries for sovereign and supranational assets. The data coverage on individual principal adverse impacts may vary greatly, dependent of the quality of the corporate disclosures. During year 2023, we have further enhanced our IT-infrastructure allowing for look-through and ability to report on external funds that form part of Danske Bank's managed investment portfolios. The look-through has resulted in better data coverage for the PAI measurements and led to some mitigation of the margin of error for year 2022.

The alternative investment universe is also challenged by lack of data in respect to sustainability-dimensions such as the PAI indicators. To mitigate potential data gaps, Danske Bank has signed up for the ESG Data Initiative launched by ATP. The purpose of this initiative is to share and request data (including on the PAI Indicators) through an industry coordinated approach from the alternative investment fund managers. While the initiative has not enabled us to leverage 2023 data from these managers, we expect that this will help us mitigate data gaps in the forward-looking as the process matures. For 2023 data on these investments has, to the extent available, been collected from our external managers. Better data coverage on alternatives is a key priority for the year 2024.

Derivatives are captured by our principal adverse impacts reporting but challenged in respect to mapping of the impacts of the underlying instrument to the derivative. For security lending and single CFDs (Contracts for Difference) instruments, principal adverse impacts have been calculated for the underlying instrument (subject to data availability). For future measurements and reporting, we will strive to further extend PAI impact measurements and reporting to other derivative types.

3.4. Engagement policies⁴

Active ownership is the use of rights and position of ownership to influence the activities or behaviour of investee companies and other issuers. Danske Bank's approach to active ownership is governed through the Responsible Investment Policy as further operationalised through an Active Ownership Instruction.

The Active Ownership Instruction informs Danske Bank's approach to active ownership as a measure to protect the value of our customers' investments and to generate attractive returns, but also to be leveraged to manage the principal adverse impacts of investments we manage on behalf of our clients. Our approach is based on the belief that exercising Active Ownership in certain situations can facilitate the resolution of challenging issues more effectively than the imposition of exclusions and/or divesting, which could limit Danske Bank's opportunities as a responsible investor.

As further detailed in the Active Ownership Instruction, Danske Bank conducts active ownership through voting and engagement in which respect the principles of the Active Ownership Instruction are further guided through defined Voting and Engagement guidelines.

Voting refers to the exercise of ownership rights at general meetings of companies where Danske Bank owns shares. Through voting, we seek to support a company's long-term growth potential, mitigate its sustainability risks and minimise companies' adverse impacts on society. We vote on management and/or shareholder resolutions to approve or disapprove of corporate governance practices as well as relevant environmental and social matters. We exercise voting by ourselves or by proxy through a third-party adviser. Our approach to using voting rights for the assets we have under management is outlined in our Voting Guidelines, covering a wide array of topics tied to the PAI-Indicators, including remuneration policies, capital structure and shareholders' rights, CO2 emissions, energy efficiency, gender diversity, biodiversity, human rights and anti-corruption. Voting is also conducted on proposals not specifically addressed by the Voting Guidelines, in which case our fiduciary duty to clients underpins our approach and evaluation of a proposal's likelihood of enhancing the long-term financial return or profitability of the company, or maximising long-term shareholder value. For actively managed funds, the voting rights are exercised in accordance with respective fund's objective and investment strategy. The investment teams have access to data, research and expertise, and voting decisions consider the sufficiency of information on matters.

Engagement refers to the interactions that Danske Bank has as an investor with current or potential issuers (which may be companies, governments, agencies, municipalities, etc.). Engagements may be undertaken to influence (or identify the need to influence) sustainability practices and/or seek ESG information.

For engagement in relation to principal adverse impacts, Danske Bank seeks to engage with issuers where material environmental and/or social sustainability related issues have been identified. Our engagement activities may be constrained by pre-conditions, resources, and the type of asset class. The engagement applies differently depending on the asset class. Engagement is exercised by the Responsible Investment team and Investment teams in Danske Bank Asset Management. Also, engagements may be performed by asset managers to whom Danske Bank has sub-delegated investment management activities.

Danske Bank exercises individual engagement or in collaboration with other investors and organisations and is guided by our Engagement Guidelines. Individual engagement activities is organised across:

1. Thematic related engagements,
2. Event related engagements; and
3. Performance related engagements.

Thematic related engagements focus on selected systemic issues and adverse impacts that companies operating in certain sectors may be exposed to. The thematic engagements under the current version of the Engagement Guidelines relate to Biodiversity & Nature, Net Zero Commitments (Largest Emitters Focus), Net Zero Pathway Framework (Fossil Fuels Focus), and Human Rights, which are largely tied to PAI-Indicators 1(1)-1(4), 1(7) and 2(1)-(2). For these engagements we seek to encourage companies to minimize their adverse impacts within the selected scope and/or target setting.

⁴ This section has been updated with additional information since the initial publication on 28 June 2024.

On a multi-year basis, we select companies as part of our thematic engagement topics. Such companies are identified based on their exposure to the engagement topic, the size and relevance in terms of portfolio positions of investment teams and clients, and performance and risk related to the focus area. Screening for such companies is done in accordance with the process selected for each theme. Also, the selected themes are continuously reviewed and potentially revised or expanded.

Event related engagements are engagements which are initiated, when certain incidents or events occur. Event related engagements may be tied to any of the PAI-Indicators, where a significant negative operational performance and/or severe sustainability event has occurred. An event related engagement is generally prompted through findings done through the screening under Danske Bank's Sustainable Investment Model, where companies with significant negative performance in relation to the PAI-Indicators are identified. Furthermore, an engagement may be prompted through the screens conducted under our Enhanced Sustainability Standards screening. The Enhanced Sustainability Standards screening utilises the results from the controversies datasets that we receive from our ESG data vendors and supports the identification of certain companies/issuers engaged in certain activities and conduct deemed harmful to society.

Corporate issuers where Danske Bank has an ownership stake exceeding a value of 75 DKK mil or >0.40% ownership, are selected as engagement targets where highest controversies level has been issued from at least two of our ESG data providers and/or the company returns a "negative" or "very negative" operational performance result under our proprietary Sustainable Investment Model. Sovereign issuers are selected as engagement targets based on the lowest performing countries as per our country assessment model. The screening also includes inputs from the Responsible Investment Team and the outlook for any future engagement. The screens for issuers subject to our event related engagements are performed regularly and not less than on an annual basis and/or if otherwise prompted.

Performance related engagements are engagements that are case-by-case dependent. The scope stems from the ongoing monitoring of issuers financial and non-financial performances, strategies, industry position, and sector trends. To support in the identification of sustainability issues that are most likely to affect an issuer's financial condition and operating performance, we leverage alongside access to sustainability research from ESG data vendors, and our own developed mSCORE (ESG Score). On a continuous basis, portfolio managers may select issuers for which engagement may improve the investment case or can mitigate investment risk related to governance and/or sustainability issues. Monitoring by investment teams identifies situations where there is a risk of loss of value or an opportunity to add long-term value through active ownership.

The approach to manage and address principal adverse impacts through engagement, is not systematically revised or escalated in situations where there is no reduction of the principal adverse impacts over more than one period. In the Active Ownership Instruction, the guiding principle for determining the best course of action for escalation is Danske Bank's duty to safeguard clients' interests. However, in such situations or if an engagement (either thematic, event or performance related) with a specific company is deemed unsuccessful, the relevant investment team or the Responsible Investment team may decide to escalate the engagement/the approach. By way of example, this could for a specific engagement be by using the outcome or progress of an engagement to inform the vote at the general meeting, hold/maintain weighting, decrease weighting, sell/divest the position and/or exclude or in certain situations conduct collaborative initiatives. Another supplementing measure to reduced impacts is integrated pre-trade warnings and pre-approvals tied to predefined thresholds for the PAI-indicators.

In respect to collective engagements, Danske Bank is a member of several investor organisations and investor initiatives, and we collaborate with a range of other relevant stakeholders. By doing this, we aim to contribute to the development of responsible investments and to promote transparency and sustainability standards in companies and in the financial markets. We work with other investors and stakeholders to exert active ownership and engage in joint dialogue with companies to contribute to positive change. By working together, we and the investment industry gain a stronger voice, and this enables us to put additional pressure on companies to address and improve on sustainability-related issues and have responsible business practices.

Find more information in our Active Ownership Instruction, Voting Guidelines and Engagement Guidelines on <https://danskebank.com/sustainability-related-disclosures>.

3.5. References to international standards

We prioritise the management of principal adverse impacts in accordance with the approach set out in Danske Bank Group position statements and other sustainability-related strategies and commitments, including product level. Our firm-level commitments include, but are not limited to the following international standards and commitments, mapped to the respective PAI indicators used for measurement and reporting:

Climate and GHG emissions - PAI indicator 1-6 (Table 1) and PAI indicator 4 (Table 2)

Our ambition at Danske Bank is to contribute to the transition to a carbon-neutral society and invest in line with the Paris Agreement's goal of limiting global temperature rise to a maximum of 1.5°C. Through our membership of global investor initiative Net Zero Asset Managers initiative, Danske Bank has committed to achieving a net-zero investment portfolio by 2050 or sooner in line with the Paris Agreement and to limiting global temperature increase to a maximum of 1.5°C. We have set a number of climate targets to support this commitment, in alignment with guidelines from the Science Based Targets initiative and the Net Zero Asset Managers initiative. Please see Danske Bank Climate Action Plan for more details, and the Climate Action Plan Progress Report 2023 published on: <https://danskebank.com/sustainability>

Initiatives linked to climate and GHG emissions:

- The Task Force on Climate related Financial Disclosures (TCFD)
- CDP (formerly Carbon Disclosure Project)
- Climate Action 100+
- The Partnership for Carbon Accounting Financials (PCAF)
- Net Zero Asset Managers initiative
- Science Based Target initiative (SBTi)

Biodiversity, water and waste - PAI Indicator 7-9 (Table 1)

Danske Bank is aware that the future profitability and success of many companies relies upon the health of global biodiversity. Conversely, the economic activity of companies amounts to one of the largest contributors to biodiversity loss, which furthermore significantly reduces the capacity of our planet to sequester carbon and hence mitigate global warming. These negative impacts not only have direct implications for the environment and society as a whole, they also present material challenges for business in the form of increased physical and transitional risks.

Initiatives linked to biodiversity, water and waste:

- The Partnership for Biodiversity Accounting Financials (PBAF)

Social and Employee matters - PAI Indicator 10-11 (Table 1) and 9

Danske Bank Group has signed and honour the ten principles of the UN Global Compact. To ensure we are not investing into companies, activities and countries which are in breach of the international guidelines mentioned below, we undertake our proprietary Enhanced Sustainability Standard screening.

Initiatives linked to social and employee matters:

- UN Global Compact
- OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises,
- UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights

Corporate governance - PAI Indicator 12-13 (Table 1)

For Danske Bank being a responsible investor comes down to use our rights as a shareholder and vote and go into dialogue with our investee companies. We are fully transparent about our voting which are disclosed on an ongoing basis on our voting platform which can be found [here](#). Our voting guidelines take into account internationally recognised corporate governance standards and voluntary principles mentioned below. Please see the Voting Guidelines for a full overview.

Initiatives linked to corporate governance:

- G20/OECD Principles of Corporate Governance
- OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises

International standards and commitments, not related to a specific PAI indicator

- UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) The framework is among other things used to determine if an investment is sustainable
- UN Principles for Responsible Investment: Danske Bank is yearly reporting on how we are adhering to the principles and our developments and progress when it comes to responsible investments.
- Sustainability Accounting Standards Board (SASB)

4. HISTORICAL COMPARISON

Please see the principal adverse impact statement for the reference year of 2022 under “Description of the principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors”. For reported impacts on year 2022, we have added assumptions on data for certain indicators and thus made relevant back-calculations for indicator 2, 3, 5, 12, 13, 15, 19, 20, 22 and 24. This is to ensure alignment and comparability with the approach taken for year 2023 figures.

5. CHANGE LOG

Date	Date Version number	Comments/changes
10 March 2021	1.0	Principal Adverse Impact Statement created
28 December 2021	2.0	Indicators amended to reflect Regulatory Technical Standards (applicable from 1 January 2023) Indicators expanded to cover sovereigns and supranational as well as Real Estate Additional indicators added Further nuanced descriptions on Identification of principal adverse impacts. Prioritisation of principal adverse impacts as well as Engagement policies and references to international standards
30 June 2022	3.0	Further nuanced descriptions on Identification of principal adverse impacts, Prioritisation of principal adverse impacts as well as Engagement policies and references to international standard
30 December 2022	4.0	Aligned with Annex 1 Template Updated based on the updated Responsible Investment Policy Updated based on the updated Active Ownership Instruction Updated based on the updated Exclusion Instruction
23 January 2023	4.1	Summary section updated
30 June 2023	4.2	Reporting on 2022 principal adverse impacts included Further nuanced descriptions on Identification of principal adverse impacts.
30 June 2024	4.3	Reporting on 2023 principal adverse impacts included, adjusted metrics for certain indicators and editorial adjustments (section 3.4 adjusted March 2025)